

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/826,577	04/02/1997	VISHVA M. DIXIT		2429
23535 7590 02/27/2007 MEDLEN & CARROLL, LLP 101 HOWARD STREET			EXAMINER	
			HAYES, ROBERT CLINTON	
SUITE 350 SAN FRANCIS	SCO, CA 94105		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1649	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	02/27/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	08/826,577	DIXIT, VISHVA M.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert C. Hayes, Ph.D.	1649				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		·				
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 42,45,48 and 56 is/are pending in the	application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>42 and 48</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>45 and 56</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
occ the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Taper Notice of Drantsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper Notice of Informal Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 08/826,577 Page 2

Art Unit: 1649

**DETAILED ACTION** 

1. Prosecution on the merits of this application is reopened on claims 42 & 48 considered

unpatentable for the reasons indicated below:

Applicant is advised that the Notice of Allowance mailed is vacated. If the issue fee has

already been paid, applicant may request a refund or request that the fee be credited to a deposit

account. However, applicant may wait until the application is either found allowable or held

abandoned. If allowed, upon receipt of a new Notice of Allowance, applicant may request that

the previously submitted issue fee be applied. If abandoned, applicant may request refund or

credit to a specified Deposit Account.

The indicated allowability of claims 42 & 48 is withdrawn in view of the newly

discovered reference(s) to Reed et al (U.S. Patent 6,172,187 B1). Rejections based on the newly

cited reference(s) follow.

Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 45 & 56 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would

be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim

and any intervening claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Page 3

(g)(1) during the course of an interference conducted under section 135 or section 291, another inventor involved therein establishes, to the extent permitted in section 104, that before such person's invention thereof the invention was made by such other inventor and not abandoned, suppressed, or concealed, or (2) before such person's invention thereof, the invention was made in this country by another inventor who had not abandoned. suppressed, or concealed it. In determining priority of invention under this subsection, there shall be considered not only the respective dates of conception and reduction to practice of the invention, but also the reasonable diligence of one who was first to conceive and last to reduce to practice, from a time prior to conception by the other.

Claims 42 & 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Reed et al. (U.S. Patent 6,172,187 B1).

Reed et al. teach the isolated and purified CD40 bp protein comprising amino acids 297-567 of SEQ ID NO: 2 (e.g., cols. 2, 3 & 7 (line 42)- 8 (line 46)); Figure 1; as it relates to claim 42). Pharmaceutical compositions are disclosed in column 18 (lines 49-66) (i.e., as it relates to claim 48). Note that Reed's amino acid positions #s 273-543 of their SEQ ID NO: 2 directly correspond with amino acid position #s 297-567 of SEQ ID NO: 2 of the instant application, and therefore, encompass claims 1-2, 4-6, 15, 16 & 19 of '187.

It should be noted that the Dixit Declaration of 7/05/96 cannot overcome this rejection, even though it does overcome any potential rejection under 102(e) for allowed claims 45 & 48. See MPEP 715.

4. Claims 42 & 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. (g) based upon claims 1-2, 4-6, 15, 16 & 19 of Patent No. 6,172,187 B1.

Application/Control Number: 08/826,577

Art Unit: 1649

Failure to present claims and/or take necessary steps for interference purposes after notification that interfering subject matter is claimed constitutes a disclaimer of the subject matter. This amounts to a concession that, as a matter of law, the patentee is the first inventor in this country. See *In re Oguie*, 517 F.2d 1382, 186 USPQ 227 (CCPA 1975).

## Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Robert Hayes whose telephone number is (571) 272-0885. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 9:00 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Janet Andres, can be reached on (571) 272-0867. The fax phone number for this Group is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert C. Hayes, Ph.D.

February 20, 2007

ROBERT C. HÄYES, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER